

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

JEANNE HARVIEUX,

Plaintiff,

Civil Action No.

vs.

SYNCHRONY BANK, N.A.,

(Removed from the District Court, Tenth
Judicial District, State of Minnesota, County of
Chisago)

Defendant.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendant Synchrony Bank, N.A. (“Synchrony”), by counsel, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1441 and 1446, hereby notices removal of the state-court civil action known as *Jeanne Harvieux v. Synchrony Bank, N. A.*, from the District Court for the Tenth Judicial District for the County of Chisago, Minnesota, to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, and in support thereof Defendant states as follows.

1. On or about April 27, 2018, Synchrony received a copy of Plaintiff Jeanne Harvieux’s (“Plaintiff”) Complaint (the “Complaint”) prepared for filing in the District Court for the Tenth Judicial District for the County of Chisago, Minnesota (the “State Court”).¹ A true and correct copy of the Complaint is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

2. Except for the notice filed with respect to this Notice of Removal, as of the date of this filing, no pleadings, motions or papers have been filed with the State Court in this action.

¹ By filing this Notice of Removal, Synchrony does not waive any defense to the Complaint, including, but not limited to, lack of service, improper service, or lack of personal jurisdiction.

3. As set forth more fully below, this case is properly removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 because Synchrony has satisfied the procedural requirements for removal and this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

GROUNDS FOR REMOVAL

I. Synchrony Has Satisfied The Procedural Requirements For Removal.

4. Synchrony received the Complaint on or about April 27, 2018. Therefore, this Notice of Removal is timely filed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b) because Synchrony is filing its Notice of Removal within 30 days of its receipt of the initial pleading setting forth the claim for relief upon which such action is based.

5. This Court is the proper division because it embraces the County of Chisago, Minnesota, where Plaintiff's action is pending. *See* 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446(a).

6. No previous request has been made for the relief requested herein.

7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), a copy of this Notice of Removal, together with all exhibits, is being served on Plaintiff's counsel, and a copy is being filed with the State Court Clerk. A copy of the State Court Notice of Filing of Notice of Removal, without its exhibits, is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

II. Removal Is Proper Because This Court Has Subject Matter Jurisdiction.

8. United States District Courts are vested with jurisdiction to consider cases or controversies "arising under" the laws of the United States of America. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

9. Removal of such cases is governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a). Section 1441(a) provides that "any civil action brought in a State court of which the district courts of the United States have original jurisdiction, may be removed by the defendant or the defendants, to the district

court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place where such action is pending.” *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

10. Here, Plaintiff’s Complaint purports to assert a claim against Synchrony for alleged violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.* (“TCPA”). *See* Complaint ¶ 1.

11. Plaintiff’s Complaint alleges violations of a federal statute—the TCPA—and consequently his claims “arise[] under” the laws of the United States. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Therefore, this Court may properly exercise jurisdiction over Plaintiff’s TCPA claim.

12. Given that the requirements for federal question jurisdiction are satisfied, this case is properly removed.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Synchrony Bank, by counsel, respectfully requests that the above-referenced action, prepared for filing in the District Court, Tenth Judicial District for the State of Minnesota, County of Chisago, be removed to this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1441 and 1446, and Synchrony requests that this Court retain jurisdiction for all further proceedings in this matter.

Dated: May 16, 2018

**ANTHONY OSTLUND BAER &
LOUWAGIE P.A.**

s/ Cory D. Olson

Cory D. Olson (#386941)
colson@anthonyostlund.com
3600 Wells Fargo Center
90 South Seventh Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 349-6969
Facsimile: (612) 349-6996

ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT